

ON 3+1 LORENTZIAN EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH ONE ROTATIONAL ISOMETRY

NISHANTH GUDAPATI

ABSTRACT. We consider 3+1 rotationally symmetric Lorentzian Einstein space-time manifolds with $\Lambda > 0$ and reduce the equations to 2+1 Einstein equations coupled to ‘shifted’ wave maps. Subsequently, we prove various (explicit) positive mass-energy theorems. No smallness is assumed.

1. LORENTZIAN EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

Smooth manifolds whose Ricci curvature is proportional to its metric are called Einstein manifolds. The additional structure endowed on such metrics due to the constant scalar curvature has resulted in deep connections between differential geometry, string theory and algebraic geometry. We refer the reader to [7] for a detailed exposition on these topics. In this work however, we shall be interested only in Einstein manifolds that are Lorentzian (spacetimes) and positively curved. As we shall see later, the motivation to study these is that they model expanding spacetimes that are consistent with the Einstein’s equations for general relativity. Suppose $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is a smooth 3+1 dimensional globally hyperbolic Lorentzian spacetime that satisfies the following Einstein’s equations for general relativity

$$(1) \quad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{\bar{g}} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \text{on } (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$$

where $\Lambda > 0$ and $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\bar{R}$ are the Ricci curvature and scalar curvature of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ respectively. Equivalently, (1) transforms to

$$(2) \quad \bar{E}_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \text{on } (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$$

or

$$(3) \quad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{on } (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$$

where $\bar{E}_{\mu\nu} := \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{\bar{g}} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor. In this work we shall follow the sign convention

$$(4) \quad \bar{g}(n, n) < 0, \quad \text{if } n \text{ is timelike.}$$

The case $\Lambda = 0$ corresponds to the vacuum Einstein’s equations. In 1917 Einstein had introduced Λ to his equations with the impression that it would account for the opinion then that the universe is static. In view of the experimental evidence that the large-scale universe is expanding, there is now a general consensus that the simplest way to reconcile the geometry of Einstein’s equations with the experimental

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 83C05, Secondary 53C50, 35L70.

(NG) is supported by a Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Postdoctoral Fellowship GU1513/1-1 to Yale University.

observations is to introduce the cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$. Mathematically, the case $\Lambda > 0$ has garnered significant interest in the last few decades. Since solutions to (1) admit physically relevant solutions (the de Sitter and the Kerr-de Sitter family), a popular problem has been the study of stability of these solutions with respect to the initial value problem of (1), starting from Friedrich's early landmark results [15, 16, 17] to recent remarkable results on *nonlinear* perturbation theory of the Kerr-de Sitter family in the slowly rotating case [22] (see also [3, 14, 28] and the references therein). Furthermore, there has been an interest in studying asymptotics and gravitational radiation (see the series [4, 5, 6] and [11]).

Important tools to study the stability and asymptotics of the system (1) are the notion of mass-energy that is positive-definite and the estimates on its evolution. In this work we shall lay the groundwork for the initial value problem of a special 'axisymmetric' subclass of the system (1) that admits an additional structure that allows us to study these questions in an explicit manner. This additional structure, recasted in a Hamiltonian framework, has already been quite useful in [20] in constructing positive-definite and conserved energy functionals for the *linear* version of the black hole stability problem for the $\Lambda = 0$ case for the full sub-extremal range of the angular-momentum.

In this work, we shall be interested in the spacetimes satisfying (1) that admit the conformal null infinity, formally defined as

Definition 1.1 (Penrose). *A Lorentzian spacetime $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ satisfying (1) admits a conformal null infinity if*

- (1) *There exists a Lorentzian spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ with a boundary $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathbf{g} = e^{2\lambda} \bar{g}$ in $\bar{M}$, where λ is a smooth function in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\lambda = 0, \nabla_{\mathbf{g}} \lambda \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}$*
- (2) *$\exists$ a global diffeomorphism $M \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{I}$.*

Then $\mathcal{I}$ is defined as the conformal null infinity of $\bar{M}$. As it is well-known $\mathcal{I}$, if it exists, is spacelike for $\Lambda > 0$. In the later stages of our work we shall adapt the Definition 1.1 as per the situation.

1.1. Axisymmetric Spacetimes. Suppose $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is a smooth 3+1 dimensional globally hyperbolic Lorentzian spacetime that is smoothly foliated by a family of simply connected (Riemannian) Cauchy hypersurfaces $(\bar{\Sigma}_t, \bar{q}_t), t \in \mathbb{R}$. The topology of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is $\mathbb{R} \times (\bar{\Sigma}_t, \bar{q}_t)$ such that $\{p \times \mathbb{R}, p \in \bar{\Sigma}\}$ is timelike and $(\Sigma_t) \times \{t\}$ is spacelike.

Definition 1.2. *The Cauchy hypersurfaces $(\bar{\Sigma}_t, \bar{q}_t)$ are axisymmetric if the rotation group $SO(2)$ acts as an isometry with closed orbits and nonempty fixed points set ('axis', denoted by Γ) for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

We shall denote $(\bar{\Sigma}_t, \bar{q}_t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ as $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$. Let $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$ be a parameter associated to the isometry group $SO(2)$ such that (∂_ϕ) is a Killing vector of $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$. By construction, Γ defines the boundary of the 2 dimensional base $\Sigma := \bar{\Sigma} \setminus SO(2)$. Further define a 2+1 dimensional Lorentzian manifold (M, g) such that $M := \bar{M} \setminus SO(2) = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$, where the action of the group $SO(2)$ on $\bar{M}$ is as mentioned above.

In a suitably aligned coordinate system, we can express the metric $\bar{g}$ in terms of a metric g of M as follows

$$(5) \quad \bar{g} = g + e^{2u} \Phi^2, \quad (\text{Kaluza-Klein ansatz})$$

where Φ is a 1-form such that $\Phi = d\phi + A_\nu dx^\nu$, $\nu = 0, 1, 2$; the quotient metric g, u and A_ν are independent of ϕ . The case $A \equiv 0$ corresponds to the case where ∂_ϕ is hypersurface orthogonal. The case with $A \neq 0$ corresponds to the ‘twist’ of the orbits of the $SO(2)$ action.

As we shall see later, our consideration of axial symmetry of $(\bar{\Sigma}_t, \bar{q}_t)$ covers physically relevant cases, but introduces the following complication for (M, g) :

Remark 1.3. *If the norm of the Killing vector ∂_ϕ is given by $\|\partial_\phi\|_{\bar{g}}$, note that, by construction, $\|\partial_\phi(p)\|_{\bar{g}} = 0 \ \forall \ p$ in the fixed point set of the $SO(2)$ action on $\bar{M}$ (i.e., on the axis Γ).*

Nevertheless, it is the form of the ansatz (5) that gives us the additional geometric structure in the equations.

1.2. Trace-reversed 2+1 Einstein’s equations. With later use in mind, let us consider the 2+1 version of Einstein’s equations in the interior of (M, g) with the cosmological constant Λ' , the source T and a coupling constant κ :

$$(6) \quad R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R_g + \Lambda'g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (M, g) \setminus \Gamma$$

Let us also represent (6) in the trace-reversed form, we have after taking the trace

$$(7) \quad R_g = 2(3\Lambda' - \kappa \text{tr}(T_{\mu\nu}))$$

Thus the trace-reversed Einstein’s equations are

$$(8) \quad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = 2\Lambda'g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa T_{\mu\nu} - \kappa \text{tr}(T_{\mu\nu})g_{\mu\nu}.$$

Consider the following energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} := \nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\sigma u \nabla_\sigma u - \frac{1}{2}\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} e^{-2u}$$

then the trace of T

$$\text{tr}(T_{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^\sigma u \nabla_\sigma u - \frac{3}{2}\Lambda e^{-2u}$$

Thus, for wave map fields the quantity $T_{\mu\nu} - \text{tr}(T_{\mu\nu})g_{\mu\nu}$ on the right of (8) is

$$(9) \quad T_{\mu\nu} - \text{tr}(T_{\mu\nu})g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} e^{-2u}$$

Suppose we are coupling the Einstein’s equations with a wave map field, then the trace-reversed equations are

$$(10) \quad R_{\mu\nu} = 2\Lambda'g_{\mu\nu} + \kappa(\nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} e^{-2u})$$

for the choice of choice of $\kappa = 2$,

$$(11) \quad R_{\mu\nu} = 2\Lambda'g_{\mu\nu} + 2\nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u + 2\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} e^{-2u}, \quad (M, g) \setminus \Gamma.$$

2. THE CASE WITH ∂_ϕ HYPERSURFACE ORTHOGONAL

The case when ∂_ϕ is hypersurface orthogonal, we have $A \equiv 0$. This is also referred to as the polarized case.

2.1. Polarized Case. If $A = 0$ uniformly, there is no ‘twist’ or ‘shift’ of the Killing vector ∂_ϕ . This case is independently interesting as a few well known solutions of (1) fall under this category. Thus

$$(12) \quad \bar{g} = g + e^{2u} d\phi^2$$

where u and g are independent of ϕ . For this form of the metric, the Ricci curvature $\bar{R}$ of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ can be projected on the Ricci curvature R of (M, g) as follows

$$(13a) \quad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u$$

$$(13b) \quad \bar{R}_{\phi\phi} = -e^{2u} (g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u + g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u).$$

$\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2$. Before we can proceed, we need the following formulas concerning the conformal transformation $\tilde{g} := e^{2\psi} g$:

$$(14a) \quad \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} = e^{3\psi} \sqrt{-g}$$

$$(14b) \quad \square_{\tilde{g}} u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}} \partial_\nu \left(\sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u \right) = e^{-2\psi} (\square_g u + g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \psi \partial_\mu u)$$

$$(14c) \quad \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\sigma \nabla_\sigma \psi - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \psi + \nabla_\mu \psi \nabla_\nu \psi - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\sigma \psi \nabla_\sigma \psi.$$

$\mu, \nu, \sigma = 0, 1, 2$.

Now consider the equation (13b) for $\bar{R}_{\phi\phi}$ and use the conformal transformation formula (14b) for $\psi = u$. Consequently, in the interior of (M, g) , we have,

$$(15) \quad \square_{\tilde{g}} u = e^{-2u} (\square_g u + g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u \partial_\nu u)$$

Now we have from the 3+1 Einstein’s equations (13b)

$$(16) \quad -e^{2u} (\square_g u + \nabla^\nu u \nabla_\nu u) = \bar{R}_{\phi\phi} = \Lambda \bar{g}_{\phi\phi} = \Lambda e^{2u}$$

which in connection with (15) implies

$$(17) \quad -e^{4u} (\square_{\tilde{g}} u) = \Lambda e^{2u}.$$

Thus we have,

$$(18) \quad \square_{\tilde{g}} u + \Lambda e^{-2u} = 0.$$

Now, for the equations (13a) consider the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-2u} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{R}_{\phi\phi} \\ &= R_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u - g_{\mu\nu} (g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta u + g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha u \nabla_\beta u) \end{aligned}$$

Also the conformally transformed Ricci tensor $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ in (14c) for $\psi = u$ in the interior of M

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\sigma \nabla_\sigma u - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u + \nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u - g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\sigma u \nabla_\sigma u.$$

So that we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} &= \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-2u} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{R}_{\phi\phi} - \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \\ &= -2\nabla_\nu u \nabla_\nu u \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(19) \quad \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = 2\tilde{\nabla}_\nu u \tilde{\nabla}_\nu u + 2\Lambda e^{-2u} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$$

In summary we have arrived at the system

$$(20) \quad \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = 2\tilde{\nabla}_\nu u \tilde{\nabla}_\nu u + 2\Lambda e^{-2u} \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$$

$$(21) \quad \square_{\tilde{g}} u + \Lambda e^{2u} = 0.$$

in the interior of M . In particular, note that we have arrived at the system that is as if it is a 2+1 vacuum spacetime that is coupled to a nonlinear equation (21) (i.e., $\Lambda' = 0$ in (10)) and a coupling constant $\kappa = 2$. In other words, the expansion parameter Λ is now ‘transferred’ to the forcing term in (21).

2.2. Variational Formulation. Consider the Einstein-Hilbert action for $\Lambda > 0$.

$$(22) \quad \bar{S}_{\text{EH}} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{M}} (\bar{R}_{\bar{g}} - 2\Lambda) \bar{\mu}_{\bar{g}}.$$

In view of the Gauss-Kodazzi reduction equations (13), we have

$$(23) \quad \bar{R}_{\bar{g}} = R_g - 2(\nabla^\nu \nabla_\nu u + \nabla^\nu u \nabla_\nu u),$$

upon conformal transformation $\tilde{g} = e^{2u} g$, the scalar curvature transforms as

$$(24) \quad \tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} = e^{-2u} (R_g - 2\nabla^\nu u \nabla_\nu u - 4\nabla^\nu \nabla_\nu u)$$

and

$$\sqrt{-\tilde{g}} = e^{-2u} \sqrt{-g}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_{\text{EH}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{M}} (e^{2u} \tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} + 2\nabla^\nu \nabla_\nu u - 2\Lambda) \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{g}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{M}} (e^{2u} \tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} + 2e^{2u} \tilde{\nabla}^\nu \tilde{\nabla}_\nu u - 2e^{2u} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\nabla}_\nu u \tilde{\nabla}_\mu u - 2\Lambda) \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{g}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{M}} (\tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} - 2\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\nabla}_\nu u \tilde{\nabla}_\mu u - 2\Lambda e^{-2u}) \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{g}} \\ (25) \quad \tilde{S}_{\text{EH}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tilde{M}} \left(\tilde{R}_{\tilde{g}} - \kappa(\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\nabla}_\nu u \tilde{\nabla}_\mu u + \Lambda e^{-2u}) \right) \bar{\mu}_{\tilde{g}} \end{aligned}$$

where we used the convention that two variational functionals are ‘equal’ if their compactly supported first variations in the interior of M produce the same Euler-Lagrange equations. Thus we can recover the 2+1 Einstein’s equations if we perform the variation with respect to $\tilde{g}$ and u respectively. For simplicity in notation, we shall henceforth denote the metric $\tilde{g}$ by g itself. As additional verification of our reduction, we shall also verify the equation (18) for some well known solutions of (1).

Theorem 2.1. *If u satisfies the equation (21), the Einstein’s equations (1) with axial symmetry and $A \equiv 0$ reduce to ‘vacuum’ (i.e., $\Lambda' = 0$) Einstein’s equations (11) in the interior of (M, g) with a specified source i.e., the stress-energy tensor of the wave equation (21).*

Finally, we have a few remarks on the equation

$$(26) \quad \square_g u + \Lambda e^{-2u} = 0.$$

Recall that the constant Λ is closely related to the scalar curvature of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$. Thus (26) is reminiscent of the Liouville's equation in differential geometry (cf. Nirenberg Problem [25])

$$(27) \quad {}^{(2)}\Delta_0 u + K e^{2u} - 1 = 0$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of a 2-manifold and ${}^{(2)}\Delta_0$ is the flat 2-Laplacian. Therefore, let us henceforth refer to the equation (18) as the 'Liouville wave equation'. As we shall see later, this exponential term stays intact even if we turn on the twist term. In a series of beautiful works [26, 27], the Moser-Trudinger inequality was used to study asymptotic behaviour for nonlinear waves with exponential nonlinearities. As we shall see, there exist axisymmetric spacetimes with $\Lambda > 0$ that admit black holes and it is not inconceivable that the Moser-Trudinger inequality could of use in the study of the nonlinear stability in *compact* domains of such spacetimes (as considered in [22]).

In the following we shall discuss some well known solutions of (1) that admit the ansatz (12). We shall only focus on the aspects that we need, we refer the reader to [2] and Section 5.2 in [21] for instance, for more details, Penrose diagrams and other depictions of these spacetimes.

The de Sitter Spacetime. The de Sitter spacetime is the simplest solution of Einstein's equations with $\Lambda > 0$. Geometrically, it is a Lorentzian submanifold obtained by the level sets of the function

$$f = -X_0^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 + X_4^2$$

in the Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{4+1}$. Suppose $f = r_c > 0$, the de Sitter metric can be expressed globally as

$$(28) \quad \bar{g}_{dS} = -dT^2 + r_c^2 \cosh^2(T/r_c) d\omega_{\mathbb{S}^3}^2$$

where $d\omega_{\mathbb{S}^3}^2$ is the standard metric in the unit 3-sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$. The metric $\bar{g}_{dS}$ satisfies the Einstein's equations (1) for $r_c = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}$.

It is easy to see from the form of the metric in (28) that the standard rotational Killing vector ∂_ϕ acts globally on the de Sitter spacetime through isometries. The de Sitter spacetime admits a static region:

$$(29) \quad \bar{g}_{dS} = -\left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$$

where it admits a timelike Killing vector. Importantly, we should point out that the upper root $r_c = \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}$ of $\Delta_{dS} := 1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2$ is the cosmological horizon. However, the timelike Killing vector in (29) does not exist globally, in particular the de Sitter spacetime admits an expanding region:

$$(30) \quad \bar{g}_{dS} = -d\hat{t}^2 + e^{2\hat{t}/r_c} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 (d\hat{x}^i)^2 \right)$$

covered by the coordinates $(\hat{t}, \hat{x}_i), i = 1, 2, 3$. We shall use the form of the metric in (30) in asymptotic expansions to define the notion of asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes. If we recast the metric (30) in the Kaluza-Klein ansatz:

$$(31) \quad \bar{g}_{dS} = e^{-2u} (-e^{2t/r_c} \rho^2 dt^2 + \rho^2 e^{4t/r_c} (d\rho^2 + dz^2)) + e^{2u} d\phi^2$$

where $u = \log \rho + t/r_c$ and the 2+1 metric is

$$(32) \quad g_{dS} = -e^{2t/r_c} \rho^2 dt^2 + \rho^2 e^{4t/r_c} (d\rho^2 + dz^2)$$

and its determinant $\sqrt{-g_{dS}} = \rho^3 e^{5t/r_c}$. Now let us verify that the dS metric satisfies the Liouville wave equation for $\rho > 0$:

$$(33) \quad \square_{g_{dS}} u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{dS}}} \partial_\nu (\sqrt{-g_{dS}} g_{dS}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{dS}}} \partial_t (\rho^3 e^{5t/r_c} (-\rho^2 e^{-2t/r_c}) \frac{1}{r_c})$$

$$(34) \quad = -\frac{3}{r_c^2 \rho^2 e^{-2t/r_c}} = -\Lambda e^{-2u}$$

Thus we have recovered the Liouville wave equation

$$\square_{g_{dS}} u + \Lambda e^{-2u} = 0.$$

Likewise we can also verify this for the static region (see below).

The Schwarzschild-de Sitter Spacetime. A more general solution of Einstein's equations (1) with $\Lambda > 0$ is the Schwarzschild de Sitter metric (to be abbreviated henceforth as SdS). Importantly, the SdS metric admits black holes. In static coordinates the Schwarzschild-deSitter metric can be expressed as

$$(35) \quad \bar{g}_{SdS} = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$$

which also admits the Killing vector ∂_ϕ . In this work we shall restrict to the cases where the polynomial

$$(36) \quad \Delta_{SdS} = \Lambda r^3 - 3r + 6m = 0$$

admits precisely one negative (real) root and two distinct positive (real) roots. With this condition in static coordinates, it is possible to identify positive roots of Δ_{SdS} as the horizons of the SdS spacetime: r_+ and r_c with $r_+ < r_c$. The SdS spacetime also admits an expanding region, which can be covered by the following coordinates:

$$(37) \quad \bar{g}_{SdS} = -\left(\frac{1 - \frac{m}{2r} e^{-t/r_c}}{1 + \frac{m}{2r} e^{-t/r_c}}\right) d\hat{t}^2 + \left(1 + \frac{m}{2r} e^{-t/r_c}\right)^4 e^{2t/r_c} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 (d\hat{x}^i)^2\right)$$

where the radial function is defined as $r := \sqrt{\sum (\hat{x}^i)^2}$. Note that the $\hat{t} = \text{const.}$ slices are conformally flat in these coordinates. Using a different parametrization for t and r in (35), the expanding region can also be represented using the form of the metric in (35). Consider the SdS metric in (t', r', θ', ϕ') coordinates:

$$(38) \quad \bar{g}_{SdS} = -\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r'} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} r'^2\right) dt'^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r'} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r'^2\right)^{-1} dr'^2 + r'^2 d\omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$$

The SdS metric in (38) admits a conformal completion as per the Definition 1.1, for $\lambda = \frac{1}{r'} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}}$. In [4] it was noted that the topology of $\mathcal{I}^+$ is $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and the ends $t' = -\infty$ and $t' = \infty$ were identified as ι^0 ('spatial infinity') and ι^+ (future temporal infinity) respectively. Based on this, the notion of asymptotically Schwarzschild-de Sitter spacetime was defined:

Definition 2.2 (Asymptotically SdS Lorentzian Einstein Manifolds [4]). *A Lorentzian spacetime $(M, \bar{g})$ satisfying (1) is (future) asymptotically Schwarzschild de-Sitter if*

- *There exists a Lorentzian spacetime $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ with a (future) boundary $\mathcal{I}^+$ such that $\mathbf{g} = e^{2\lambda}g$ in $\bar{M}$, where λ is a smooth function in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\lambda = 0, \nabla_{\mathbf{g}}\lambda \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{I}^+$*
- *$\exists$ a global diffeomorphism $M \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{I}^+$*
- *the topology of $\mathcal{I}^+$ is $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$.*

where p_1 and p_2 represent ι^0 and ι^+ respectively.

Let us now represent the SdS metric in the Kaluza-Klein form:

$$\bar{g}_{SdS} = r^{-2} \sin^{-2} \theta \left(-r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 \right) + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$$

so that the 2+1 metric g_{SdS} is given by

$$(39) \quad g_{SdS} = -r^2 \sin^2 \theta \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2$$

where $e^{2u} = r^2 \sin^2 \theta$ and the metric determinant $\sqrt{-g_{SdS}} = r^4 \sin^3 \theta$. Now we shall verify if the SdS metric satisfies the Liouville wave equation for $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and $r_+ < r < r_c$: Consider the wave operator

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{g_{SdS}} u &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{SdS}}} \partial_\nu (\sqrt{-g_{SdS}} \partial^\nu u) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g_{SdS}}} (\partial_r (r \sin \theta (1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2)) + \partial_\theta (r \sin \theta \partial_\theta (\log r \sin \theta))) \\ &= \frac{1}{r^4 \sin^2 \theta} (\sin \theta (1 - \Lambda r^2) - \sin \theta) = -\frac{\Lambda}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have recovered the Liouville wave equation for SdS

$$\square_{g_{SdS}} u + \Lambda e^{-2u} = 0,$$

which reduces to the dS case if we restrict to $m = 0$.

2.3. A Positive-Definite Geometric Energy. As we alluded to earlier, a positive definite quantity arising from the geometry of Einstein's equations with $\Lambda > 0$ would be useful in the estimates in the Cauchy problem. In the case with a rotational symmetry the structure of the equations gives us a few advantages but also introduces subtleties. As such, the dimensional reduction to the 2+1 dimensional Einstein's equations coupled to the Liouville wave equation results in a canonical energy from the stress-energy tensor of the source:

$$E_{div} := \int_{\Sigma} T(n, n),$$

where n is the unit timelike normal of $\Sigma \hookrightarrow M$. Indeed, this energy from the stress-energy tensor has a 'defocussing' behaviour and is manifestly positive-definite for $\Lambda > 0$ but it is divergent (see [19] where the asymptotically flat case ($\Lambda = 0$) is considered but the issues are essentially the same). To obtain a convergent energy we turn to a Hamiltonian quantity of the original 3+1 Einstein's equations:

$$(40) \quad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Suppose $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ admits a 3+1 decomposition such that a smooth (Riemannian) Cauchy hypersurface embeds into it $\bar{\Sigma} \hookrightarrow \bar{M}$ with a unit time-like normal n . The Gauss-Kodazzi equations give the following projection relation

$$(41) \quad \bar{E}(n, n) = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{R}_{\bar{q}} + (tr_{\bar{q}}(\bar{K}))^2 - \|\bar{K}\|_{\bar{q}}^2)$$

where $\bar{K}$ is second fundamental form of the embedding $\bar{\Sigma} \hookrightarrow \bar{M}$ and $\bar{R}_{\bar{q}}$ is the scalar curvature of $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$. Therefore the Hamiltonian constraint $\bar{E}(n, n) + \Lambda \bar{g}(n, n) = 0$ now reads

$$(42) \quad \bar{R}_{\bar{q}} + (tr_{\bar{q}}(\bar{K}))^2 - \|\bar{K}\|_{\bar{q}}^2 + 2\Lambda \bar{g}(n, n) = 0.$$

Note that n is timelike, so in view of our signature $\bar{g}(n, n) < 0$, results in positive scalar curvature metrics $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$ for a suitable time coordinate gauge condition. Suppose $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$ admits the following two forms of the metric

- Kaluza-Klein coordinates

$$(43) \quad \bar{q} = e^{-2u} (e^{2q'} (d\rho^2 + dz^2)) + e^{2u} d\phi^2$$

- Brill coordinates

$$(44) \quad \bar{q} = e^\sigma (e^{2q} (d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2)$$

It may be noted that the forms of the metric in (43) and (44) are closely related. For this work, we shall choose to use the Brill coordinates. We shall assume the following regularity conditions on the axis Γ ($\rho = 0$),

$$(45) \quad q = 0, \quad \partial_\rho \sigma = \partial_\rho q = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

For the form of the metric in (44), the scalar curvature can be calculated as

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{\bar{q}} &= -\frac{e^{-2q-\sigma}}{2\rho} (\rho(\partial_z \sigma)^2 + (\partial_\rho \sigma)^2 + 4\rho(\partial_\rho^2 q + \partial_z^2 q + \partial_\rho^2 \sigma + \partial_z^2 \sigma) + 4\partial_\rho \sigma) \\ &= -e^{-2q-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 + 2\Delta_0 \sigma + 2\Delta_0 q - \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho q \right) \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, in the form of the metric in (43)

$$(47) \quad \bar{R}_{\bar{q}} = -2e^{-2q'+2u} ((\partial_z u)^2 + (\partial_z q')^2 + (\partial_\rho u)^2 + \partial_\rho^2 q')$$

$$(48) \quad = -2e^{-2q'+2u} (\Delta_0 q' - \frac{1}{\rho} q' + |\nabla_0 u|^2)$$

where

$$(49) \quad \Delta_0 u := \partial_\rho^2 u + \partial_z^2 u + \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho u$$

$$(50) \quad |\nabla_0 u|^2 := (\partial_\rho u)^2 + (\partial_z u)^2$$

is the Δ_0 flat-space Laplacian and $|\nabla_0 \cdot|^2$ is the (pointwise) Euclidean norm of the flat space gradient applied on axisymmetric function u . The Hamiltonian constraint now reads:

$$(51) \quad -e^{-2q-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 + 2\Delta_0 \sigma + 2\Delta_0 q - \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho q \right) + tr(\bar{K})^2 - \|\bar{K}\|_{\bar{q}}^2 - 2\Lambda \bar{g}(n, n) = 0$$

and

$$(52) \quad -2e^{-2q'+2u}(\Delta_0 q' - \frac{1}{\rho}q' + |\nabla_0 u|^2) + \text{tr}(\bar{K})^2 - \|\bar{K}\|_{\bar{q}}^2 - 2\Lambda\bar{g}(n, n) = 0$$

Positivity of charges of Einstein's equations with $\Lambda > 0$ close to de Sitter were studied in [1]. Separately, using spinor methods various positive energy theorems were proven in [23, 31]. In this work we shall be interested in explicit tensorial positive definite expressions within the class of axial symmetry. We need the following notions of asymptotically flat and asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes. Suppose $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF})$ is a smooth Riemannian manifold, it is called a (globally) asymptotically flat manifold as per the following definition:

Definition 2.3 (Asymptotic flatness). *The Riemannian manifold $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$ is (spatially) asymptotically flat if*

- *for some compact set U , $\exists$ a diffeomorphism from $\bar{\Sigma} \setminus U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathbb{B}_1(0)$ ('end' or asymptotic region)*
- *$(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$ admits the asymptotic expansion*

$$(53) \quad \bar{q}_{AF} = \left(1 + \frac{m_{ADM}}{r}\right) \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1-\alpha})$$

$$(54) \quad \bar{K}_{AF} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2-\alpha})$$

for $\alpha \geq 1/2$ in the asymptotic region.

The quantity m_{ADM} is the ADM mass at infinity given by the flux integral

$$(55) \quad m_{ADM} := \frac{1}{16\pi} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)} \bar{\delta}^{kl} (\partial_k \bar{q}_{il} - \partial_i \bar{q}_{kl}) \frac{x^i}{r} \bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)}$$

where r is the radius of a coordinate sphere at infinity.

As it is well known the positivity of the ADM mass m_{ADM} was proven in the classic works of [29, 30, 32]. Using stronger fall-off conditions than in (53), Brill used the explicit form of the Hamiltonian constraint for time-symmetric axially symmetric (polarized) slices to prove an explicit positive mass theorem. It was an early indication of positivity of mass-energy of vacuum Einstein's equations.

Remark 2.4. *In the context of Einstein's equations with $\Lambda > 0$, since the geometry of the well-known solutions is different in different regions, the structure of the Hamiltonian constraint equation (51) (and (52)), which comprises only of positive-definite terms and divergence terms¹, is especially useful to define and interpret the flux terms in various regions.*

Firstly, let us go through the Brill's original argument as it shall be immediately extended to our context.

Theorem 2.5 (Brill [8]). *Suppose $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF})$ is asymptotically flat, axially symmetric Riemannian 3-manifold that is (globally) homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ and that it can be represented in (ρ, z, ϕ) coordinates as follows*

$$(56) \quad \bar{q}_{AF} = e^{\sigma_{AF}} (e^{2q_{AF}} (d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2)$$

such that it is asymptotically Schwarzschild:

$$(1) \quad e^{\sigma_{AF}/4} \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{m_B}{2r}\right) \text{ (asymptotically Schwarzschild)}$$

¹modulo a suitable time-coordinate gauge condition

- (2) $\partial_\rho(e^{\sigma_{AF}/4}) = \partial_\rho q_{AF} = q_{AF} = 0$ on the axis $\rho = 0$
 (3) $q_{AF} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, for a constant m_B and that $(\bar{\Sigma})_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}$ is time-symmetric, then

$$(57) \quad m_B \geq 0,$$

with the equality occurring only if $\bar{q}_{AF}$ is flat.

Proof. Consider the Hamiltonian constraint equation for $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$:

$$(58) \quad -e^{-2q-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma_{AF}|^2 + 2\Delta_0 \sigma_{AF} + 2\Delta_0 q_{AF} - \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho q_{AF} \right) + \text{tr}(\bar{K}_{AF})^2 - \|\bar{K}_{AF}\|_{\bar{q}_{AF}}^2 = 0$$

Now consider the integration of the equation (58) with $\text{tr}(K) = 0$, in a coordinate ball $B(r)$ of radius r , where r is made arbitrarily large in a limiting sense

$$(59) \quad - \int_{\mathbb{B}(r)} (\Delta_0 \sigma_{AF} + \Delta_0 q_{AF} - \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho q_{AF}) \rho d\rho dz d\phi = \frac{1}{2} \int e^{2q_{AF} + \sigma_{AF}} (\|K_{AF}\|_{q_{AF}}^2) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma_{AF}|^2 \rho d\rho dz d\phi.$$

Due to the asymptotic conditions on q_{AF} the terms $\int \Delta_0 q$ and $\int \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho q$ drop out as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Now consider the $\int \Delta_0 \sigma_{AF}$ term, integrating it over the interior $B(r)$ of a large sphere $\mathbb{S}^2(r) := \{\rho^2 + z^2 = r^2\}$

$$(60) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(r)} \Delta_0 \sigma_{AF} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)} \hat{n}(\nabla_0 \sigma_{AF}) r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$$

where $\hat{n}$ is the outward normal 1-form to $\mathbb{S}^2(r)$.

Note that $\partial_r e^{\sigma_{AF}/4}$ and $\frac{1}{4} \partial_r \sigma_{AF}$ have the same leading order terms (r^{-2})

$$(61) \quad e^{\sigma_{AF}/4} = 1 + \frac{m_B}{2r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$$

$$(62) \quad \log e^{\sigma_{AF}/4} = \sigma/4 = \log(1 + \frac{m_B}{2r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}))$$

$$(63) \quad = \frac{m_B}{2r} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$$

this implies

$$(64) \quad \partial_r \sigma_{AF} = -\frac{2m_B}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3})$$

in the asymptotic region. Thus

$$(65) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{n}(\nabla_0 \sigma_{AF}) &= \partial_r \sigma_{AF} \\ &= -\frac{2m_B}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(66) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)} \hat{n}(\nabla_0 \sigma_{AF}) r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi = -8\pi^2 m_B.$$

Now, plugging into the equation (59), we get the mass formula

$$\begin{aligned}
(67) \quad m_B &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2q_{AF} + \sigma_{AF}} \|K\|_{\bar{q}_{AF}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma_{AF}|^2 \rho d\rho dz d\phi \\
(68) \quad &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2q_{AF} + \sigma_{AF}} \|K_{AF}\|_{\bar{q}_{AF}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma_{AF}|^2 \rho d\rho dz
\end{aligned}$$

If we impose the time-symmetry condition, the mass expression simplifies as

$$(69) \quad m_B = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla_0 \sigma_{AF}|^2 \rho d\rho dz \quad (\text{Brill mass formula}).$$

The rigidity for the equality case ($m = 0$) was also proven using the explicit nature of the expression above. The convergence of the integral in (69) follows from the regularity on this axis and the fall-off conditions. Furthermore, the argument allows a straightforward extension to the case with multiple ends. $\square$

Brill's original work was later extended by Gibbons-Holzegel [18] (for 3+1 and 4+1 dimensions with apparent horizons and $A \neq 0$), Dain [12] (maximal case and $A \neq 0$, see also the nice extension to the linear stability problem for extremal Kerr [13]) and finally by Chruściel [10] for the general positively curved axially symmetric case, where the existence of the Brill coordinates for these metrics was also proved. A formal verification of the correspondence of m_B with m_{ADM} , also with weaker fall-off conditions for q , follows from Section 3 in [10].

We would like to remark that the ADM mass is captured by the inverse first order fall-off of the asymptotically flat metric. Since the form of the Hamiltonian constraint has only undifferentiated metric modification from the vacuum case, for the purposes of the current work we shall adopt the definition of Luo-Xie-Zhang[24] to define notion of the asymptotically de Sitter metrics.

Definition 2.6 (Asymptotically de Sitter). *A smooth initial data hypersurface $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$ that is (globally) homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ is called Ω -asymptotically de Sitter if*

- *for a compact set U , $\exists$ a diffeomorphism $\bar{\Sigma} \setminus U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_1(0)$ ('end' or asymptotic region)*
- *$\exists$ a constant $\Omega > 0$ such that*

$$(70) \quad \bar{q} = \Omega^2 \bar{q}_{AF}, \quad \bar{K} = \Omega \bar{K}_{AF}$$

in the asymptotic region, where $\bar{q}_{AF}$ and $\bar{K}_{AF}$ are such that $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$ is a smooth asymptotically flat metric with convergent ADM mass.

Furthermore, in [24] they prove a positive mass theorem for asymptotically de Sitter metrics based on the positivity of the ADM mass and the following definition of the mass:

$$(71) \quad m(\bar{q}, \bar{K}) := \Omega m_{ADM}(\bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF}).$$

for CMC slices that satisfy the condition $|tr(K)| \leq \sqrt{3\Lambda}$. The existence of such slices is also discussed. In the following we shall apply this for the case of axial symmetry (in the next section we shall also deal with the twist $\neq 0$ case). Let us define,

$$(72) \quad m(\bar{q}, \bar{K}) := \Omega m_B(\bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF}).$$

where $m_B(\bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$ is the Brill mass of the axially symmetric $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$.

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$ is an axially symmetric, Ω -asymptotically de Sitter CMC initial data set that is (globally) homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ and that $|tr(K)| = \sqrt{2\Lambda}$, $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF})$ is axially symmetric and asymptotically Schwarzschild in the sense of Theorem 2.5. Further suppose that $\bar{q}$ can be represented in (ρ, z, ϕ) coordinates as*

$$(73) \quad \bar{q} = e^\sigma (e^{2q}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\phi^2)$$

(or equivalently as (43)), then the mass $m(\bar{q}, \bar{K})$ converges and

$$(74) \quad m(\bar{q}, \bar{K}) > 0.$$

Proof. Recall the Hamiltonian constraint for the Brill form of the metric:

$$(75) \quad -e^{-2q-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 + 2\Delta_0 \sigma + 2\Delta_0 q - \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho q \right) + tr(\bar{K})^2 - \|\bar{K}\|_{\bar{q}}^2 - 2\Lambda \bar{g}(n, n) = 0$$

Separating out the divergence terms and integrating this like before over a large coordinate ball $\mathbb{B}_r$ of radius r , we get

$$(76) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\Delta_0 \sigma + \Delta_0 q - \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho q) \rho d\rho dz = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(e^{2q+\sigma} (\|\bar{K}\|_{\bar{q}}^2 - tr(\bar{K})^2 + 2\Lambda \bar{g}(n, n)) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 \right) \rho d\rho dz$$

For the asymptotically de Sitter $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$, like before the $\int \Delta_0 q$ and $\int \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho q$ terms drop out. Recall that $\bar{q} = \Omega^2 \bar{q}_{AF}$ in the asymptotic region, where $\bar{q}_{AF}$ is now asymptotically Schwarzschild. Therefore $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(r)} \Delta_0 \sigma = -8\pi^2 m_B(\bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$

$$(77) \quad m(\bar{q}, \bar{K}) = \Omega m_B(\bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF}) = \frac{\Omega}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{2q+\sigma} (\|\bar{K}\|_{\bar{q}}^2) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 \rho d\rho dz$$

The convergence of the integral in (77) follows from the convergence of m_B of $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$ and the regularity conditions on the axis. Note that our time coordinate gauge condition $|tr(K)| = \sqrt{2\Lambda}$ results in $\bar{R}_q > 0$ and $m > 0$. In addition, it also kills off the exponential term involving Λ in the mass-energy expression. $\square$

Remark 2.8. *By exploiting the ‘time-distance switch’ one can also cover the expanding region with ‘stationary’ coordinates using a ‘time-translational’ Killing vector. Thus, one can study variants of positive mass theorem using maximal hypersurfaces á la Brill. In this work however, we shall only be interested in cases where n is manifestly timelike and $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$ a Riemannian 3-manifold.*

3. THE CASE WITH TWIST $v \neq 0$

We shall now perform the dimensional reduction of $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ satisfying (1) with the ansatz (5). Recall the the Einstein’s equations for the $\Lambda > 0$ case:

$$(78) \quad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \bar{R} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{for } \Lambda > 0 \quad \text{on } (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$$

its trace-reversed form

$$(79) \quad R_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{on } (\bar{M}, \bar{g})$$

and the Kaluza-Klein ansatz

$$(80) \quad \bar{g} = g + e^{2u} \Phi^2,$$

where g is the metric of $M = \bar{M} \setminus SO(2)$ and g, u, A are independent of ϕ . Suppose we define the ‘curvature’ F of A in the chosen coordinate frame as

$$(81) \quad F_{\mu\nu} := \nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu,$$

Following [9], the Ricci curvature $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ can be projected onto the Ricci curvature of (M, g) as

$$(82a) \quad \Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u - \frac{1}{2} e^{2u} F_{\mu\sigma} F_\nu^\sigma$$

$$(82b) \quad \Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\phi} = \bar{R}_{\mu\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-u} \nabla_\sigma (e^{3u} F_\mu^\sigma)$$

$$(82c) \quad \Lambda \bar{g}_{\phi\phi} = \bar{R}_{33} = -e^{2u} (g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu u + g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u - \frac{1}{4} e^{2u} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu})$$

for $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2$. Fortuitously, the equation (82b) allows a twist potential analogous to the $\Lambda = 0$ case due to $\bar{g}_\phi^\mu = 0$ for $\mu = 0, 1, 2$. Therefore, the equation (82b) can be interpreted as the closure of a 1-form. Define

$$G := e^{3u} * F,$$

then (82b) implies

$$dG = 0.$$

This in turn implies there exists a twist potential v such that

$$G = dv.$$

The equation $dF = 0$ for the twist potential v can be transformed into a ‘wave maps’ equation in the conformally transformed manifold $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{g})$, $\tilde{g} = e^{2\psi} g$ with $\psi = u$ in the interior of M .

$$(83) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\mu (e^{-3u} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu v) = 0$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the (Levi-Civita) covariant derivative with respect to the metric $\tilde{g}$. This equation constitutes one of the ‘shifted’ wave map² system. The other equation is given by

$$(84) \quad \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \partial_\mu u + \frac{1}{2} e^{-4u} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu v \partial_\nu v + \Lambda e^{-2u} = 0.$$

Analogous to the Theorem 2.1, the quantity $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + e^{-2u} g_{\mu\nu} \bar{R}_{\phi\phi}$ gives the 2+1 Einstein’s equations in a trace reversed form. Therefore, we have obtained the 2+1 shifted Einstein-wave map system

$$(85a) \quad E_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}$$

$$(85b) \quad \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \partial_\mu u + \frac{1}{2} e^{-4u} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu v \partial_\nu v + \Lambda e^{-2u} = 0$$

$$(85c) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_\mu (e^{-3u} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu v) = 0.$$

²this terminology is temporary, before we come up with a better wording

For simplicity in notation, we shall henceforth denote $\tilde{g}$ by g itself. We would like to remark that a subclass of (85) with $\Lambda = 0$ is the 2+1 Einstein-wave map system

$$(86) \quad E_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}$$

$$(87) \quad \square_g U^i + {}^{(h)}\Gamma_{jk}^i g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha U^j \partial_\beta U^k = 0$$

for $\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2$ and $i, j = 1, 2$, where

$$U : (M, g) \rightarrow (H^2, h)$$

is a wave map with the target the hyperbolic 2-plane (H^2, h) .

The Kerr-de Sitter Spacetime. The Kerr-de Sitter family of solutions of (78) are solutions which represent massive rotating black holes. It has twist $v \neq 0$. The metric is given as follows:

$$(88) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{KdS} = & -\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi}{1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2} \right)^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2 \cos^2 \theta} d\theta^2 \\ & + \frac{\sin^2 \theta (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2 \cos^2 \theta)}{\Sigma} \left(\frac{adt - (r^2 + a^2)d\phi}{1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(89a) \quad \Delta = r^2 - 2mr + a^2 - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}(r^2 + a^2)$$

$$(89b) \quad \Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta,$$

$\theta \in [0, \phi]$, $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$. The parameters m and a are the mass and the (specific) angular-momentum respectively. For the part of the spacetime covered by this form of the metric, the Kerr-de Sitter family admits two Killing vectors

$$\{\partial_t, \partial_\phi\}$$

The function Δ is a quartic polynomial with four roots. In order to avoid extremality of any kind, we shall restrict to the cases of Kerr-de Sitter spacetimes where $-\Delta$ admits precisely one negative root and three distinct non-negative roots - the black hole horizons $r_\pm$ and the cosmological horizon r_c . The upper non-negative root of Δ is the cosmological horizon (r_c) and the lower two roots are the black hole horizons ($r_\pm$). Now let us represent the Kerr-de Sitter metric in the Kaluza-Klein or ‘Weyl-Papapetrou’ form in the region $r \in (r_+, r_c)$, where $\Delta > 0$. Firstly, we shall transform (r, θ) part of $\bar{g}_{KdS}$ in a conformally flat form: introduce the auxillary radial coordinate $R(r)$ such that it is a regular solution of

$$\frac{dr}{dR} = \frac{(\Delta)^{1/2}}{R(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{1/2}}, \quad \text{for } r_+ < r < r_c.$$

If we further introduce the coordinates

$$\rho = R \sin \theta, \quad z = R \cos \theta, \quad \theta \in [0, \pi],$$

the (r, θ) 2-metric can be transformed into a conformally flat form as

$$(90) \quad \frac{\Sigma}{(\rho^2 + z^2)(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^2 \cos^2 \theta)} (d\rho^2 + dz^2).$$

Now consider the $(\bar{g}_{KdS})_{tt}, (\bar{g}_{KdS})_{t\phi}, (\bar{g}_{KdS})_{\phi\phi}$ parts: we have

$$(91) \quad \begin{aligned} (\bar{g}_{KdS})_{tt} &= \frac{1}{\Sigma(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2)^2} \left(-\Delta + a^2 \sin^2 \theta \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta \right) \right) \\ (\bar{g}_{KdS})_{t\phi} &= \frac{a \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2)^2} \left(\Delta - \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta \right) (r^2 + a^2) \right) \\ (\bar{g}_{KdS})_{\phi\phi} &= \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2)^2} \left(-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta \right) (r^2 + a^2)^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the quantities

$$(92) \quad \frac{(\bar{g}_{KdS})_{\phi t}}{(\bar{g}_{KdS})_{\phi\phi}} = \frac{a(-2mr - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2(r^2 + a^2)(1 + \cos^2 \theta))}{-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{g}_{KdS})_{tt}(\bar{g}_{KdS})_{\phi\phi} - (\bar{g}_{KdS})_{t\phi}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\Sigma^2(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^2} \left(\sin^2 \theta (-\Delta + a^2 \sin^2 \theta (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)) \cdot (-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2)^2) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{a^2 \sin^4 \theta}{\Sigma^2(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^2} (\Delta - (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Kerr-de Sitter spacetime can be represented in the Kaluza-Klein or ‘Weyl-Papapetrou’ form as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_{KdS} &= \frac{e^{-2u}}{\Sigma^2(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^2} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sin^2 \theta (-\Delta + a^2 \sin^2 \theta (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)) \cdot (-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2)^2) \right) dt^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{-2u} a^2 \sin^4 \theta}{\Sigma^2(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^2} (\Delta - (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2))^2 dt^2 \\ &\quad + e^{-2u} \frac{\sin^2 \theta (-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2)^2)}{(\rho^2 + z^2)(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2)^2} (d\rho^2 + dz^2) \\ &\quad + e^{2u} (d\phi + \frac{a(-2mr - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2(r^2 + a^2)(1 + \cos^2 \theta))}{-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2)^2} dt)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the norm and the vector potential corresponding to the ‘shift’ of the Killing vector ∂_ϕ are given by

(93a)

$$e^{2u} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2)^2} \left(-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta \right) (r^2 + a^2)^2 \right)$$

(93b)

$$A_t = \frac{a(-2mr - \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2(r^2 + a^2)(1 + \cos^2 \theta))}{-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2)^2}$$

respectively. Likewise, the conformal factor of the 2-metric in (ρ, z) is given by

$$(93c) \quad e^{2q} := \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{(\rho^2 + z^2)(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2)^2} \left(-a^2 \sin^2 \theta \Delta + (1 + \frac{\Lambda}{3}a^2 \cos^2 \theta)(r^2 + a^2)^2 \right)$$

Note that the norm of the Killing vector, the conformal factor and the lapse function of the 2 + 1 metric g vanish on the axis $\theta \in \{0, \pi\}$. For the special case of $a = 0$ we recover the Schwarzschild-de Sitter metric in isotropic coordinates:

$$(94a) \quad e^{2u} = r^2 \sin^2 \theta$$

$$(94b) \quad A_t = 0$$

the conformal factor of the 2-metric (ρ, z)

$$(94c) \quad e^{2q} = \frac{r^4 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2 + z^2}.$$

Let us now move on to the positive mass expressions for the $v \neq 0$ case.

Kaluza-Klein coordinates. Consider the Kaluza-Klein ansatz for the metric $\bar{q}$

$$(95) \quad \bar{q} = e^{-2u} (e^{2q'} (d\rho^2 + dz^2)) + e^{2u} (d\phi + A_i dx^i)^2, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The scalar curvature $\bar{R}_{\bar{q}}$ in this parametrization of the metric $\bar{q}$ is

$$(96) \quad \bar{R}_{\bar{q}} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{-4q' + 6u} (\partial_z A_\rho - \partial_\rho A_z)^2 - 2e^{-2q' + 2u} (\Delta_0 q' - \frac{1}{\rho} q' + |\nabla_0 u|^2)$$

Suppose the 2+1 decomposition of the metric (M, g) can be represented as

$$(97) \quad g = -\tilde{N}^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ab} (dx^a + \tilde{N}^a dt)(dx^b + \tilde{N}^b dt),$$

$a = 1, 2$ where $\tilde{N}$ and $\tilde{N}^a$ are the 2+1 lapse and shift respectively. Suppose ϵ^{ab} is the Levi-Civita antisymmetric symbol, then the quantity $\epsilon^{ab}(\partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a)$ for $a, b = 1, 2$ can be expressed in terms of the *spacetime* twist potential v as

$$(98) \quad \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ab} (\partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a) = \tilde{N} e^{2q' - 4u} (\partial_t v - \tilde{N}^a \partial_a v).$$

Therefore, the scalar curvature $\bar{R}_{\bar{q}}$ can be expressed in terms of v as

$$(99) \quad \bar{R}_{\bar{q}} = -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{N}^2 e^{-2u} (\partial_t v - \tilde{N}^a \partial_a v)^2 - 2e^{-2q' + 2u} (\Delta_0 q' - \frac{1}{\rho} q' + |\nabla_0 u|^2)$$

Therefore, the Hamiltonian constraint is

$$(100) \quad -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{N}^2 e^{-2u} (\partial_t v - \tilde{N}^a \partial_a v)^2 - 2e^{-2q' + 2u} (\Delta_0 q' - \frac{1}{\rho} q' + |\nabla_0 u|^2) + \text{tr}(K)^2 - \|K\|_{\bar{q}}^2 + 2\Lambda \bar{g}(n, n) = 0.$$

Brill coordinates. Likewise, if we consider a more general form of the original Brill metric:

$$(101) \quad q = e^\sigma (e^{2q}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2(d\phi + A_a dx^a)^2), \quad a, b = 1, 2$$

where again the 1-form A is the vector potential corresponding to the ‘shift’ of the Killing vector ∂_ϕ , the functions σ, q, A are regular functions such that their derivatives with respect to ρ vanish on the axis Γ and $q = 0$ on Γ . Then the scalar curvature of $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$ in these coordinates is given by

$$(102) \quad \bar{R}_{\bar{q}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-4q-\sigma} (-\rho^2 (\partial_z A_\rho - \partial_\rho A_z)^2) - e^{-2q-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 + 2\Delta_0 \sigma + 2\Delta_0 q - \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho q \right)$$

Therefore the Hamiltonian constraint is

$$(103) \quad \frac{1}{2} e^{-4q+2\sigma} (-\rho^2 (\partial_z A_\rho - \partial_\rho A_z)^2) - e^{-2q-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 + 2\Delta_0 \sigma + 2\Delta_0 q - \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho q \right) + \text{tr}(K)^2 - \|K\|_{\bar{q}}^2 + 2\Lambda \bar{g}(n, n) = 0.$$

In the following we shall prove a positive mass theorem for the case $A \neq 0$. As in Theorem 2.7, the asymptotic behaviour of $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q}, \bar{K})$ is governed by the asymptotics of $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$ with convergent Brill mass. In particular, we shall assume that $\bar{q}_{AF}$ can be represented in terms of Brill coordinates

$$(104) \quad \bar{q}_{AF} = e^{\sigma_{AF}} (e^{2q_{AF}} (d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 (d\phi + (A_{AF})_a dx^a)^2), \quad a, b = 1, 2$$

where $\sigma_{AF}, q_{AF}, A_{AF}$ are regular functions such that

$$(105) \quad \sigma_{AF} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}), \partial \sigma_{AF} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), q_{AF} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \partial q_{AF} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3})$$

and

$$(106) \quad (A_{AF})_\rho, (A_{AF})_z = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2}), \quad (\partial A_{AF})_\rho, (\partial A_{AF})_z = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3})$$

in the asymptotic region of $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$, $r = \sqrt{\rho^2 + z^2}$. Furthermore, they satisfy the regularity conditions on the axis

$$(107) \quad \partial_\rho \sigma_{AF} = \partial_\rho q_{AF} = q_{AF} = 0, \quad \text{on } \rho = 0.$$

In this setting, we shall define

$$(108) \quad m(\bar{q}, \bar{K}) := \Omega m_B(\bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF}).$$

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{q})$ is axially symmetric CMC initial data hypersurface with $|\text{tr}(K)| = \sqrt{2\Lambda}$ that is (globally) homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ and is Ω -asymptotically de Sitter with $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$ satisfying (104) – (107). Further suppose that the metric $\bar{q}$ can be represented in the form (101) (or equivalently (95)) then the mass $m(\bar{q}, \bar{K})$ as defined in (108) converges and*

$$(109) \quad m(\bar{q}, \bar{K}) > 0.$$

Proof. The proof of positivity is directly based on the expression of the Hamiltonian constraint:

$$(110) \quad \frac{1}{2} e^{-4q+2\sigma} (-\rho^2 (\partial_z A_\rho - \partial_\rho A_z)^2) - e^{-2q-\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 + 2\Delta_0 \sigma + 2\Delta_0 q - \frac{2}{\rho} \partial_\rho q \right) + \text{tr}(K)^2 - \|K\|_{\bar{q}}^2 + 2\Lambda \bar{g}(n, n) = 0.$$

Integrating (110) over a large coordinate ball $B(r)$ of radius r ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(r)} \Delta_0 \sigma + \Delta_0 q - \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\rho q \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(r)} \frac{1}{2} e^{-2q+2\sigma} (\rho^2 (\partial_z A_\rho - \partial_\rho A_z)^2) + e^{2q+\sigma} (-\text{tr}(K)^2 + \|\bar{K}\|_q^2 - 2\Lambda \bar{g}(n, n)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(r)} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2
\end{aligned}$$

The fall-off conditions for q imply that the only boundary term that remains is $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(r)} \Delta_0 \sigma = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2(r)} \hat{n}(\nabla_0 \sigma)$. Therefore, the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(r)} \Delta_0 \sigma = -8\pi^2 m_B(\bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$. As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
(111) \quad m(\bar{q}, \bar{K}) &= \Omega m_B(\bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF}) \\
&= \frac{\Omega}{8\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{1}{2} e^{-2q+2\sigma} (\rho^2 (\partial_z A_\rho - \partial_\rho A_z)^2) + e^{2q+\sigma} (\|\bar{K}\|_q^2) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_0 \sigma|^2 \right) \rho d\rho dz
\end{aligned}$$

with our time coordinate gauge condition $|\text{tr}(K)| = \sqrt{2\Lambda}$. It also follows from (111) and the gauge condition that $\bar{R}_{\bar{q}} > 0$ and $m(\bar{q}, \bar{K}) > 0$. The convergence of the integral in (111) follows from the regularity on the axis and fall-off conditions of the asymptotically flat $(\bar{\Sigma}_{AF}, \bar{q}_{AF}, \bar{K}_{AF})$ with convergent Brill mass. $\square$

We would like to point out some aspects of these formulas that could be useful to understand the open problems concerning the asymptotics, radiation and stability for Einstein's equations with $\Lambda > 0$:

- The construction is robustly a large-data (strong field) construction as we make no smallness assumption of metric functions, angular-momentum or any other quantity.
- An explicit formula for the geometric energy represented in terms of a dynamical metric quantities could be useful in studying the system from a PDE perspective.

However, a careful formulation of the Hamiltonian initial value problem is needed to study the evolution of such (mass-type) flux quantities at infinity (and at the horizons) for more general foliations and asymptotic conditions. A systematic study in this direction shall be postponed to a future work.

Acknowledgements. The author gratefully acknowledges the encouraging interactions with Abhay Ashtekar in the early stages of this project. The author is also indebted to Vincent Moncrief for numerous encouraging discussions. A part of this work was done while the author was visiting the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques (IHÉS) at Bures-sur-Yvette during the Fall 2016, where the author enjoyed the hospitality and conducive working conditions.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Abbot and S. Deser, *Stability of gravity with a cosmological constant*, Nucl. Phys. B **195** (1982), no. 1, 75–96.
- [2] S. Ackay and R. Matzner, *The Kerr-de Sitter universe*, Class. Quantum Grav. **28** (2011), no. 8, 085012 (26pp).
- [3] M. Anderson, *Existence and stability of even-dimensional asymptotically de Sitter spaces*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **6** (2005), no. 5, 801–820.

- [4] A. Ashtekar, B. Bonga, and A. Kesavan, *Asymptotics with a positive cosmological constant:I. Basic framework*, Class. Quantum Grav. **32** (2015), no. 2, 025004(41pp).
- [5] ———, *Asymptotics with a positive cosmological constant:II. Linear fields on de Sitter space*, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015), no. 4, 044011(14 pages).
- [6] ———, *Asymptotics with a positive cosmological constant:III. The quadrupole formula*, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015), no. 10, 10432 (21 pages).
- [7] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg) (1987).
- [8] D. Brill, *On the positive definite mass of the Bondi-Weber-Wheeler time-symmetric gravitational waves*, Ann. Phys. **7** (1959), no. 4, 466–483.
- [9] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and V. Moncrief, *Existence theorem for solutions of Einstein’s equations with 1 parameter spacelike isometry groups*, P. Symp Pure Math **59** (1996), 67–80.
- [10] P. Chruściel, *Mass and angular-momentum inequalities for axis-symmetric initial data sets I. Positivity of mass*, Ann. Phys. **323** (2008), no. 10, 2566–2590.
- [11] P. Chruściel and L. Ifsits, *The cosmological constant and the energy of gravitational radiation*, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016), no. 12, 124075(40 pages).
- [12] S. Dain, *Axisymmetric evolution of Einstein equations and mass conservation*, Class. Quantum Grav. **25** (2009), no. 14, 145021 (18pp).
- [13] S. Dain and I. Gentile de Austria, *On the linear stability of the extreme Kerr black hole under axially symmetric perturbations*, Class. Quantum Grav. **31** (2014), no. 19, 195009–.
- [14] S. Dyatlov, *Asymptotic distribution of quasi-normal modes for Kerr-de Sitter black holes*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **12** (2012), no. 5, 1101–1166.
- [15] H. Friedrich, *Existence and structure of past asymptotically simple solutions of Einstein’s field equations with positive cosmological constant*, J. Geometry Phys. **3** (1986), no. 1, 101–117.
- [16] ———, *On the existence of n-geodesically complete or future complete solutions of Einstein’s field equations with smooth asymptotic structure*, Comm. Math. Phys. **107** (1986), no. 4, 587–609.
- [17] ———, *On the global existence and the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the Einstein-Maxwell-Yang-Mills equations*, J. Differential Geom. **34** (1991), no. 2, 275–345.
- [18] G. Gibbons and G. Holzegel, *The positive mass and isoperimetric inequalities for axisymmetric black holes in four and five dimensions*, Class. Quantum Grav. **23** (2006), no. 22, 6459–6478.
- [19] N. Gudapati, *A note on the dimensional reduction of axisymmetric spacetimes*, arXiv:1702.07950 (11 pages) (2017).
- [20] N. Gudapati and V. Moncrief, *On axisymmetric Einstein-Maxwell perturbations of Kerr-Newman black hole spacetimes*, in preparation; a summary of the results can be found in a talk given at the BHI Colloquium, URL: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BNx3SEP1SWM> (2017).
- [21] S. Hawking and J. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge University Press (1973).
- [22] P. Hintz and A. Vasy, *The global non-linear stability of the Kerr-de Sitter family of black holes*, arXiv:1606.04014 (2016), (159 pages).
- [23] D. Kastor and J. Traschen, *A positive energy theorem for asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes*, Class. Quantum Grav. **19** (2002), no. 23, 5901–5920.
- [24] M. Luo, N. Xie, and X. Zhang, *Positive mass theorems for asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes*, Nucl. Phys. B **825** (2010), no. 1-2, 98–118.
- [25] J. Moser, *On a nonlinear problem in differential geometry*, Dynamical Systems (Proc. Sympos. Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971), Academic Press, New York (1971), 273–280.
- [26] M. Sack, *Scattering for a massless critical nonlinear wave equation in two space dimensions*, Anal. PDE. **7** (2014), no. 3, 701–715.
- [27] M. Sack and M. Struwe, *Scattering for a critical nonlinear wave equation in two space dimensions*, Math. Ann. **365** (2016), no. 3, 969–985.
- [28] V. Schlue, *Global results for linear waves on expanding Kerr and Schwarzschild-de Sitter cosmologies*, Comm. Math. Phys. **334** (2015), no. 2, 977–1023.
- [29] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), no. 1, 45–76.
- [30] ———, *Proof of the positive mass theorem II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), no. 2, 231–260.

- [31] L. Szabados and P. Tod, *A positive Bondi-type mass in asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes*, Class. Quantum Grav. **32** (2015), no. 20, 205011(51pp).
- [32] E. Witten, *A new proof of the positive energy theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **80** (1981), no. 3, 381–402.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, 10 HILLHOUSE AVENUE, NEW HAVEN, CT-06511, USA

E-mail address: `nishanth.gudapati@yale.edu`